

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,044	08/17/2005	Amit Krishna Antarkar	21281/0208272-US0	2710
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 06/01/201 ARBY P.C	0	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 770)	YOUNG, MICAH PAUL		
Church Street New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/518,044	ANTARKAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	1618		

	MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing.	date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	iled within two month	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		imals filed emendmen	t concellna the			
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitteu iii a separate, i	imely lieu amenumei	it canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment (s): a) I have a proposed amendme		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but 	hefore or on the date of filing a No	stice of Anneal will no	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						
/Michael G. Hartley/	/MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	6/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618	Evaminer Art I Init 1618					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment changes the scope since now the inert polymer concentration is relative to any biguanide in the formulation and not just the biguanide in the first granule preparation. With the claims open to further layers or additives not granulated the inert biodegradable polymer concentration has been broadened to include other biguanide that may be present.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Lewsi patent at Example 4b does not meet the minimum concentration, however Applicant appears to ignore the 345 mg of Eudragit RS powder and the 74 mg of Eudragit L100-55 present in layer B. The combination of Eudragit polymers is 167% of the biguanide in the layer. For these reasons the claims remain obvaited.